

ON ENDOMORPHISM RINGS AND DIMENSIONS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

PETER SCHENZEL

ABSTRACT. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denote an n -dimensional complete local Gorenstein ring. For an ideal I of R let $H_I^i(R), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the local cohomology modules of R with respect to I . If $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq c = \text{height } I$, then the endomorphism ring of $H_I^c(R)$ is isomorphic to R (cf. [5] and [4]). Here we prove that this is true if and only if $H_I^i(R) = 0, i = n, n-1$ provided $c \geq 2$ and R/I has an isolated singularity resp. if I is set-theoretically a complete intersection in codimension at most one. Moreover, there is a vanishing result of $H_I^i(R)$ for all $i > m, m$ a given integer, resp. an estimate of the dimension of $H_I^i(R)$.

1. MAIN RESULTS

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denote a local Noetherian ring with $n = \dim R$. For the ideal $I \subset R$ let $H_I^i(\cdot), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the local cohomology functor with respect to I , see [2] for its definition and basic results. It is a difficult question to describe $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} | H_I^i(R) \neq 0\}$, the cohomological dimension $\text{cd } I$ of I with respect to R . Recall that $\text{height } I \leq \text{cd } I$. Recently there are some interesting results for ideals with $c = \text{height } I = \text{cd } I$, so called cohomological complete intersections. If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a complete local ring Hellus and Stückrad (cf. [5]) have shown that the endomorphism ring $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ is isomorphic to R . See also [4, Lemma 2.8] for a more functorial proof and a slight extension in the case of $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ a Gorenstein ring.

The first aim of the consideration here is a characterization when the endomorphism ring of $H_I^c(R)$ is isomorphic to R . To this end we call I locally a cohomological complete intersection provided $\text{cd } IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{height } I$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. For instance, if I has an isolated singularity it is locally a cohomological complete intersection.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denote a complete local Gorenstein ring with $n = \dim R$. Let I be an ideal of height $I = c$. Suppose that I is locally a cohomological complete intersection. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *$H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i = n-1, n$.*

In a certain sense, condition (ii) of Theorem 1.1 provides a numerical condition for the property that the endomorphism ring of $H_I^c(R)$ is R . In the case of R a regular local ring containing a field Huneke and Lyubeznik (cf. [6, Theorem 2.9]) have shown a topological characterization of the above condition (ii).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Gorenstein ring. Let $J \subset I$ denote two ideals of height c .*

- (a) *There is a natural homomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_R(H_J^c(R), H_J^c(R)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R)).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13D45; Secondary: 13H10, 14M10.

Key words and phrases. Local cohomology, vanishing, cohomological dimension.

- (b) Suppose that $\text{Rad } JR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Rad } IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ with $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq c + 1$. Then the homomorphism in (a) is an isomorphism.
- (c) Let R be in addition complete. Let J denote a cohomologically complete intersection contained in I and satisfying the assumptions of (b). Then $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ is an isomorphism.

Our results are based on a certain estimate of $\dim H_I^i(R)$, $i > c$, see Theorem 3.1. In the case of a regular local ring partial results of this type have been used by Ken-Ichiroh Kawasaki (cf. [7]) for the study of Lyubeznik numbers (cf. [8] for their definition). Here we use the truncation complex as invented in [4, Section 2] (cf. 2.1). Moreover it provides some technical statements about the endomorphism ring of $H_I^c(R)$, $c = \text{height } I$, (cf. Lemma 2.2).

In the terminology the author follows the paper [4].

2. ON THE TRUNCATION COMPLEX

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ denote a local Gorenstein ring with $n = \dim R$. First of all we will recall the truncation complex as it was introduced in [4, Section 2] and in a different context in [9, §4]. Let $R \xrightarrow{\sim} E^\cdot$ denote a minimal injective resolution of R as an R -module. It is a well-known fact that

$$E^i \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R, \text{height } \mathfrak{p}=i} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ denotes the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{p}$ (cf. [1] for these and related results about Gorenstein rings).

Now let $I \subset R$ denote an ideal and $c = \text{height } I$. Then $d = \dim R/I = n - c$. The local cohomology modules $H_I^i(R)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are – by definition – the cohomology modules of the complex $\Gamma_I(E^\cdot)$. Because of $\Gamma_I(E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(I)$ it follows that $\Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^i = 0$ for all $i < c$. Therefore $H_I^c(R) = \text{Ker}(\Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^c \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^{c+1})$. This observation provides an embedding $H_I^c(R)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)$ of complexes of R -modules.

Definition 2.1. The cokernel of the embedding $H_I^c(R)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)$ is defined as $C_R(I)$, the truncation complex with respect to I . So there is a short exact sequence of complexes of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot) \rightarrow C_R(I) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular it follows that $H^i(C_R(I)) = 0$ for $i \leq c$ or $i > n$ and $H^i(C_R(I)) \simeq H_I^i(R)$ for $c < i \leq n$.

For the first we need some basic results about the truncation complex. For more details we refer to the exposition in [4, Section 2].

Lemma 2.2. *With the previous notation there are the following results:*

- (a) *There are an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n-1}(C_R(I)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_I^c(R)) \rightarrow E \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(C_R(I)) \rightarrow 0,$$

and isomorphisms $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-c}(H_I^c(R)) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-1}(C_R(I))$ for $i < n$.

- (b) *$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_I^c(R)) \neq 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-c}(H_I^c(R)) = 0$ for $i > n$.*
- (c) *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ denote a prime ideal. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$C_R(I) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq C_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

provided $\text{height } I = \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

- (d) *There is a natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R)) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(R), R)$.*

Proof. For the proof of (a) apply the derived functor $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\cdot)$ to the short exact sequence as given in 2.1. Then $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Gamma_I(E^\cdot)) \simeq E[-n]$. So the long exact cohomology sequence of the corresponding exact sequence of complexes provides the claim (cf. [4, Lemma 2.2] for the details). The statement (b) is shown in [4, Corollary 2.9] and [4, Lemma 1.2].

For the proof of (c) localize the exact sequence of 2.1 at $\mathfrak{p}$. Then there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\cdot) \rightarrow C_R(I) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

To this end recall first that $c = \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{height } I$ and that the local cohomology commutes with localization. Furthermore $E^\cdot \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to the minimal injective resolution $E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\cdot$ of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then the definition of the truncation complex proves the claim.

Finally, we prove (d). As it is shown at the beginning of this section there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R) \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^c \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^{c+1}$. This induces a natural commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R)) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^c) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), \Gamma_I(E^\cdot)^{c+1}) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(R), R) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), E^\cdot)^c & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), E^\cdot)^{c+1} \end{array}$$

because $\Gamma_I(E^\cdot)$ is a subcomplex of $E^\cdot$. The two last vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms. This follows because $\text{Hom}_R(X, E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for an R -module X with $\text{Supp}_R X \subset V(I)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(I)$. Therefore the first vertical map is also an isomorphism. $\square$

In order to compute the local cohomology of the truncation complex $C_R(I)$ there is the following spectral sequence for the computation of the hyper cohomology of a complex.

Proposition 2.3. *With the notation of 2.1 there is the following spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(H^q(C_R(I))) \implies E_{\infty}^{p+q} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{p+q}(C_R(I)),$$

where $H^q(C_R(I)) = 0$ for $i \leq c$ and $i > n$ and $H^q(C_R(I)) \simeq H_I^q(R)$ for $c < i \leq n$.

Proof. The spectral sequence is a particular case for the spectral sequence of hyper cohomology (cf. [10]). For the initial terms check the definition of the truncation complex. $\square$

In the following we shall use the notion of the dimension $\dim X$ for R -modules X which are not necessarily finitely generated. This is defined by $\dim X = \dim \text{Supp}_R X$, where the dimension of the support is understood in the Zariski topology of $\text{Spec } R$. In particular, $\dim X < 0$ means $X = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. *With the notation above we have the following results:*

- (a) $\dim H_I^i(R) \leq n - i$ for all $i \geq c = \text{height } I$.
- (b) $\dim H_I^c(R) = \dim R/I$.
- (c) If $\dim H_I^i(R) < n - i$ for all $i > c$, then R/I is unmixed, i.e. $c = \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all minimal $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$.

Proof. (a): This result is well-known (cf. for instance [7]).

(b): Let $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ denote a minimal prime ideal in $V(I)$ such that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = c$. Then $H_I^c(R) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ by the Grothendieck non-vanishing result. So, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } H_I^c(R)$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. Together with (a) this proves the claim.

(c): Let $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ minimal with $h := \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}} > c$. Then $h = \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and

$$0 \neq H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^h(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq H_I^h(R) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

So, it implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } H_I^h(R)$ with $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} + h = n$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof. **Theorem 1.2.** Let $\alpha \geq 1$ denote an integer. The inclusion $J \subset I$ induces a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I^\alpha/J^\alpha \rightarrow R/J^\alpha \rightarrow R/I^\alpha \rightarrow 0$. By applying the long exact cohomology sequence with respect to $\text{Ext}_R^\bullet(\cdot, R)$ and passing to the direct limit there is the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R) \rightarrow H_J^c(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^c(I^\alpha/J^\alpha, R).$$

Recall that $\text{grade } I^\alpha/J^\alpha \geq c$ for all α . Let $X = \text{Im } \phi$. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R) \rightarrow H_J^c(R) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ provides (after applying $\text{Ext}_R^\bullet(\cdot, R)$) a natural homomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_R^c(H_J^c(R), R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(R), R).$$

By Lemma 2.2 (d) this proves the statement in (a).

In order to prove (b) we may assume that $JR_{\mathfrak{p}} = IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ with $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq c + 1$. This follows because local cohomology does not change by passing to the radical. Next we claim that $\dim X \leq d - 2$. This follows because $\dim_R I^\alpha/J^\alpha \leq d - 2$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ under the additional assumptions of $J \subset I$. Moreover $\dim X \leq d - 2$ is true by a localization argument and the embedding $X \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^c(I^\alpha/J^\alpha, R)$.

By passing to the completion and because of the Matlis duality (cf. [4, Lemma 1.2]) it will be enough to show that the natural homomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_I^c(R)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_J^c(R))$ is an isomorphism. Now this is true by virtue of the local cohomology with respect to the maximal ideal applied to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R) \rightarrow H_J^c(R) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ and $\dim X \leq d - 2$.

For the proof of (c) recall that for a cohomologically complete intersection J it is known that the endomorphism ring of $H_J^c(R)$ is isomorphic to R (cf. [5] or [4, Lemma 3.3]). $\square$

3. DIMENSIONS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

As before let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denote a n -dimensional Gorenstein ring. Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal with $c = \text{height } I$ and $\dim R/I = n - c$. We prove the following theorem in order to estimate the dimension of local cohomology modules. To this end let us fix the abbreviation $h(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} - c$ for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $l \geq 1$ denote an integer. With the previous notation the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\dim H_I^i(R) \leq n - l - i$ for all $i > c$.
- (ii) For all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ the natural map

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{h(\mathfrak{p})}(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) \rightarrow E(k(\mathfrak{p}))$$

is surjective resp. bijective if $l \geq 2$ and

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0$$

for all $h(\mathfrak{p}) - l + 1 < i < h(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. (i) $\implies$ (ii): By virtue of Lemma 2.4 it follows that R/I is unmixed, i.e. $c = \text{height } I = \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$. In particular it implies that $h(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$. Moreover

$$\dim R/\mathfrak{p} + \dim H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim H_I^i(R)$$

because the localization commutes with local cohomology. So our assumption (i) implies that $\dim H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} - l - i$ for all $i > \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = c$. Therefore it will be enough to prove the statement in (ii) for $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$, the maximal ideal of $(R, \mathfrak{m})$.

By virtue of Lemma 2.2 (a) it will be enough to show the vanishing of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(C_R^\bullet(I))$ for all $i > n - l$. To this end consider the spectral sequence of Proposition 2.3. By our assumption we have for the initial

terms $E_2^{p,q} = H_m^p(H_I^q(R)) = 0$ for all $p + q > n - l$, where $q \neq c$. This provides the vanishing of the limit terms $H_m^i(C_R(I)) = 0$ for all $i > n - l$, as required.

(ii) $\implies$ (i): Because of $l \geq 1$ the first statement in (ii) provides that $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{h(\mathfrak{p})}(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ does not vanish. By virtue of Lemma 2.2 (b) it follows that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq h(\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$. Whence $c = \text{height } IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$. As a consequence (cf. Lemma 2.2 (c)) we see that $C_R(I) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq C_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$.

Now we proceed by induction on $d = \dim R/I$. In the case of $d = 0$ the ideal I is $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary. Therefore the statement is true because R is a Gorenstein ring. So let $d > 0$. First we show that the inductive hypothesis implies

$$\dim H_I^i(R) \leq \max\{n - l - i, 0\} \text{ for all } c < i \leq n.$$

To this end assume that $\dim H_I^i(R) > 0$ for a certain $i \geq n - l$. Choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } H_I^i(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ and $i + l \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by the induction hypothesis. On the other hand $l + i \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} < n \leq l + i$ a contradiction. Second, suppose that $\dim H_I^i(R) > n - l - i$ for a certain $c < i < n - l$. Choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } H_I^i(R)$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim H_I^i(R)$. Therefore $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} > n - l - i$ and $l + i > \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Moreover $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ and $i + l \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, that is again a contradiction.

With this information in mind the spectral sequence (cf. Proposition 2.3) degenerates to isomorphisms $H_m^i(C_R(I)) \simeq H^i(C_R(I))$ for all $i > n - l$. Finally the assumption in (ii) for $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ implies that $H_m^i(C_R(I)) = 0$ for all $i > n - l$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). This finishes the proof because of $H^i(C_R(I)) \simeq H_I^i(R)$ for $i > c$. $\square$

For $l \geq \dim R/I$ the previous result yields – as a particular case – the equivalence of the conditions (i) and (ii) of [4, Theorem 3.1]. Another Corollary is the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that $c \geq 2$. With the above notation suppose that*

$$\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{I\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}), H_{I\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$$

is an isomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ (e.g. this is satisfied in the case I is locally a cohomological complete intersection). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i = n - 1, n$.
- (ii) *The natural homomorphism $\hat{R} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(H_{I\hat{R}}^c(\hat{R}), H_{I\hat{R}}^c(\hat{R}))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By the Local Duality Theorem the assumption is equivalent to the isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{h(\mathfrak{p})}(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) \rightarrow E(k(\mathfrak{p}))$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. By a localization argument and Theorem 3.1 this is equivalent to $\dim H_I^i(R) \leq \max\{n - 2 - i, 0\}$ for all $i > c$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 the statement in (ii) holds if and only if $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i = n - 1, n$. $\square$

Note that Corollary 3.2 proves Theorem 1.1 of the Introduction. Another Corollary of Theorem 3.1 is the following vanishing result.

Corollary 3.3. *Fix the notation as above. Suppose that I is locally a cohomological complete intersection. For an integer $l \geq 1$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{cd } I \leq \max\{n - l, c\}$, i.e. $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for all $i > \max\{n - l, c\}$.
- (ii) *The natural homomorphism $H_m^d(H_I^c(R)) \rightarrow E$ is surjective resp. bijective if $l \geq 2$ and $H_m^i(H_I^c(R)) = 0$ for $d - l + 1 < i < d$.*

Proof. Note that the ideal I is locally a cohomological complete intersection if and only if $\dim H_I^i(R) \leq 0$ for all $i > c$. This follows by localization and because of $H_{I_{R_p}}^i(R_p) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$ and all $p \in V(I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem 3.1, the statement is true. $\square$

4. PROBLEMS AND EXAMPLES

The first example shows that the assumptions in Corollary 3.2 are not necessary for the equivalence of both of the statements. Moreover, it shows that the isomorphism $R \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ does not localize.

Example 4.1. (cf. [4, Example 4.1]) Let k be an arbitrary field. Let $R = k[[x_0, \dots, x_4]]$ denote the formal power series ring in five variables over k . Let

$$I = (x_0, x_1) \cap (x_1, x_2) \cap (x_2, x_3) \cap (x_3, x_4).$$

Then $c = \operatorname{height} I = 2$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq 2, 3$, as it follows by the use of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for local cohomology. Moreover (cf. [4, Example 4.1]) it is shown that $H_I^3(R) = E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathfrak{p} = (x_0, x_1, x_3, x_4)$. The spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(H_I^q(R)) \implies E_{\infty}^{p+q} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{p+q}(R)$$

provides an isomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(H_I^2(R)) \simeq E$. Recall that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(H_I^3(R)) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By Local Duality it follows that the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_I^2(R), H_I^2(R))$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, it is easily seen that this is not true for $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ because

$$H_{I_{R_p}}^2(R_p) \simeq H_{I_1 R_p}^2(R_p) \oplus H_{I_2 R_p}^2(R_p), I_1 = (x_0, x_1), I_2 = (x_3, x_4),$$

decomposes into two non-zero direct summands. This is seen by the use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for local cohomology.

The following example shows that the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$, $c = \operatorname{height} I$, is in general not a finitely generated R -module.

Example 4.2. (cf. [3, § 3]) Let k denote a field and $R = k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xu - yv)$, where $k[[x, y, u, v]]$ denotes the power series ring in four variables over k . Let $I = (u, v)R$. Then $\dim R = 3$, $\dim R/I = 2$ and $c = 1$. It follows that $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2$. Moreover $\operatorname{Supp} H_I^2(R) \subset \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. The truncation complex with the short exact sequence (cf. 2.1)

$$0 \rightarrow H_I^c(R)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_I(E) \rightarrow C_R(I) \rightarrow 0$$

induces a short exact sequence on local cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H_I^2(R) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^2(H_I^1(R)) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. Lemma 2.2). Hartshorne (cf. [3, § 3]) has shown that the socle of $H_I^2(R)$ is not a finite dimensional k -vector space. Therefore, the socle of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^2(H_I^1(R))$ is infinite. Moreover there are the following isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_I^1(R), H_I^1(R)) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(H_I^1(R), R) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^2(H_I^1(R)), E)$$

(cf. Lemma 2.2 (d) and [4, Lemma 1.2]). By the Nakayama Lemma this means that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_I^1(R), H_I^1(R))$ is not a finitely generated R -module.

So, one might ask for a characterization of the finiteness of the endomorphism ring of $H_I^c(R)$, $c = \operatorname{height} I$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS: *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. **82** (1983), 18-29.
- [2] A. GROTHENDIECK: 'Local cohomology', Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lect. Notes in Math., **20**, Springer, 1966.
- [3] R. HARTSHORNE: *Affine duality and cofiniteness*, Inventiones Math. **9** (1970), 145-164.
- [4] M. HELLUS, P. SCHENZEL: *On cohomologically complete intersections*, Preprint, arXiv:0804.2558v1 [math.AC].
- [5] M. HELLUS, J. STÜCKRAD: *On endomorphism rings of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 2333-2341.
- [6] C. HUNEKE, G. LYUBEZNIK: *On the vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Invent. Math. **102**, (1990), 73-93.
- [7] K.-I. KAWASAKI: *On the highest Lyubeznik number*, Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. **132** (2002), 409-417.
- [8] G. LYUBEZNIK: *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to commutative algebra)*, Invent. Math. **102** (1993), 41-55.
- [9] P. SCHENZEL: *On birational Macaulayfications and Cohen-Macaulay canonical modules*, J. Algebra **275** (2004), 751-770.
- [10] C. WEIBEL: 'An Introduction to Homological Algebra', Cambr. Univ. Press, 1994.

MARTIN-LUTHER-UNIVERSITÄT HALLE-WITTENBERG, INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK, D — 06 099 HALLE (SAALE),
GERMANY

E-mail address: peter.schenzel@informatik.uni-halle.de